. 40/4001 TUB 14.40 FAA

**2**006/010

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JUN - 7 2000

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NOT COMPARED

----X ZHE YANG,

WITH COPY FILE

Plaintiff(s),

·Plaintiff designates County as the Place of trial The basis of the venue is Plaintiff Residence

-against-

GREYHOUND LINES, INC.,

Index #101916/07 Purchased: June 7,07 SUMMONS

> Plaintiff resides at lli McDougal Street

County of NY

Defendant(s) \_\_\_\_\_\_

To the above named Defendant(s)

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED TO ANSWER THE COMPLAINT in this action and to serve a copy of your answer ,or, if the complaint is not served with this summons ,to serve a notice of appearance on the Plaintiff's Attorney(s) within 20 days after the service of this summons exclusive of the day of service (or within 30 days after the service is complete if this summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York); and in case of your failure to appear or answer ,judgement will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Dated: 6-5-07

Defendant(s) address:625 Eighth Avenue, New York, NY

The LAW FIRM OF JEFFREY LESSOFF Attorneys for Plaintiff 350 Broadway Suite 703 New York, New York 10013 212-219-9257

100/20/200/ TUB 14:25 FAX

**2**007/010

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ZHE YANG,

Plaintiff(s),

Index #601916/07

Purchased: June, 07,07

-against-

VERIFIED COMPLAINT

GREYHOUND LINES,INC.,

Defendant(s),

NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

JUN - 7 2007

NOT COMPARED WITH COPY FILE

Plaintiff(s), complaining of Defendant(s), by WITH COPY FILE

THE LAW OFFICE OF JEFFREY LESSOFF, respectfully show the court and allege as follows upon information and belief:

## AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION IN NEGLIGENCE

OSS

1. The defendant ,GREYHOUND LINES, INC., was and still remains a domestic corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of New York.

pdny

2. The defendant, was and still remains a foreign corporation, duly organized and existing by virtue of one of the laws of United States.

DICK

3. The plaintiff was and still is a resident of the City and State of New

.00/20/2007 TUE 14:25 FAX

**2**008/010

York, County of New York.

OSD

4.On June 12,2007 , while the plaintiff was lawfully and properly upon the defendants premises as a bus passenger and more particularly at the Detroit Greyhound terminal, Detroit, Michigan, the plaintiff was injured.

That the plaintiff was seriously and severely injured.

The defendant was and is the owner of the Detroit Bus Terminal State of Michigan.

That the defendants hired agents, servants and employees at said location.

8. That the defendants, agents, servants and employees were responsible for the plaintiff's injuries.

9. That the defendants owed the plaintiff the duty of reasonable care and a higher duty of care because they are a common carrier.

10. That the defendants breached both duties.

.00/20/2007 TUE 14:25 FAX

Ø009/010

11. That the defendants, its servants, agents and or employees were negligent, reckless and careless.

12. This case fall within one or more of the exceptions in CPLR 1602.

13. That as a result of the aforementioned the plaintiff suffered permanent injuries all to the plaintiff's damage in the sum of Five Million Dollars ( \$5,000,000.00).

WHEREFORE, plaintiff demands judgement against the defendant(s)in the First Cause of Action in the sum of Five Million Dollars (\$5,000,000.00); together with the costs and disbursements of this action.

Dated: New York, New York June 5,2007

Yours, etc.

THE LAW OFFICE OF JEFFREY LESSOFF Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 350 Broadway Suite #703 New York, New York 10013 212-219-9257

UB/26/2007 TUE 14:25 FAX

Ø010/010

## ATTORNEY'S AFFIRMATION

The undersigned is an attorney admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York; is the attorney of record for the Plaintiffs in the within action; has read the forgoing SUMMONS & COMPLAINT and knows the contents thereof; the same is true to affirmants own knowledge , except as to those matters said to be upon information and belief and as to those matters, affirmant believes it to be true. This verification is made by affirmant and not by plaintiff because plaintiff resides in a County other than where your affirmant maintains his offices.

The grounds of affirmant's belief as to matters not stated upon affirmant's own knowledge are as follows: Books, records, interviews and documents contained in the file.

Dated: New York, New York

June 5,2007